

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/829,251	04/09/2001	Laura C. Simmons	A-63487-3/RFT/JJD	1050	
	. 7:	590 06/17/2002				
	FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP Four Embarcadero Center - Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94111-4187		EXAMINER			
				SANDALS, WILLIAM O		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1636	lb	
				DATE MAILED: 06/17/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

B antisect/s

for Jy

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/829,251

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

Examiner William Sandals

1636

Simmons et al.

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover shee	et with a	the correspondence address				
	or Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.								
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.								
If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term edjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 30, 2	2001		·				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL. 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.						
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u>			is/are pending in the application.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.				
6) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.				
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-4</u>	are s	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held	l in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a	a) 🗌 a	pproved $ar{}$ b) $ar{}$ disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office acti	on.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:							
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received						
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received	in App	lication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
. —	14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) L	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	5 0.5.0	5. 99 120 and/or 121.				
Attachm	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO	-413) Paper No(s)				
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			Application (PTO-152)				
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:						
								

Application/Control Number: 09/829,251

Page 2

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claim 1, drawn to a method of optimizing secretion of a heterologous peptide
 with variants in the translation initiation region, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
 - II. Claims 1-4, drawn to a method of optimizing secretion of a heterologous peptide with variants in the translation initiation region and having variants in the nucleic acids encoding the signal sequence, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions of Groups I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product of Group I is deemed to be useful as a method of optimizing secretion of a heterologous polypeptide with variations in the translation initiation region, this region being a unique and distinct region which does not require the signal secretion sequence of Group II and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

Application/Control Number: 09/829,251

Art Unit: 1636

species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the sequences of claim 4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

Art Unit: 1636

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

7. Certain papers related to this application are *welcomed* to be submitted to Art Unit 1636 by facsimile transmission. The FAX numbers are (703) 308-4242 and 305-3014. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 CFR 1.6(d)). NOTE: If applicant *does* submit a paper by FAX, the original copy should be retained by the applicant or applicant's representative, and the FAX receipt from your FAX machine is proof of delivery. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED, so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Dr. William Sandals whose telephone number is (703) 305-1982. The examiner normally can be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 7:00 PM, EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel can be reached at (703) 305-1998.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Zeta Adams, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3291.

William Sandals, Ph.D.

Examiner

June 15, 2002